

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/886,855	PITSIANIS ET AL.	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief

(1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Peter H. Priest (Reg. No. 30,210).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 October 2006

**Time:** 11AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No.

Claims discussed:

16-17 and 34-35

Prior art documents discussed:

No.


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Spoke to Mr. Priest regards to potential 101 issue for claims 16-17 and 34-35. Please see the Examiners amendment for detail.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)